## What are Florida's class size requirements?

The Florida Constitution was amended in 2002 to require the Legislature to make adequate provision to ensure that, by the beginning of the 2010 academic year, there are a sufficient number of classrooms so that the maximum number of students assigned to each public school teacher in the following grade groupings is:

* 18 students for prekindergarten through grade 3;
* 22 for grades 4 through 8 ; and
* 25 for grades 9 through 12 .

In the years prior to the 2010 academic year, the average number of students in each classroom was to be reduced by at least two students per year until the maximum number of students is met. ${ }^{1}$ The class size requirements do not apply to extracurricular courses. Statutory provisions implementing the class size amendment identify as core-curricula courses those subjects that are not considered extracurricular courses. For class size purposes, core-curricula courses are defined as mathematics, language arts/reading, science, social studies, foreign languages, English for speakers of other languages, exceptional student education, and courses taught in traditional self-contained elementary classrooms. ${ }^{2}$

Under the initial implementing statute, compliance with the class size requirements was to be measured at the:

* District level for each of the three grade groupings during FYs 2003-06.
* School level for each of the three grade groupings in FYs 2006-08.
* Individual classroom level for each of the three grade groupings in FY 2008-09 and thereafter. ${ }^{3}$

[^0]The timeframe for measuring class size at the school level was extended twice by the Legislature. In 2008, the Legislature extended school level measurement through FY 2008-09. ${ }^{4}$ The next year, the Legislature extended this timeframe by one more year, thereby delaying measurement of class size at the individual classroom level until FY 2010-11 and thereafter. ${ }^{5}$

In 2010, the Legislature passed Senate Joint Resolution 2, which proposed amending the class size provisions of the State Constitution. The joint resolution would amend the class size maximums for each grade grouping, as follows:

* Prekindergarten through grade 3: The maximum number of students who may be assigned to each teacher in an individual classroom would be raised from 18 to 21 , but the school average number of students assigned per class to each teacher within each public school may not exceed 18 students.
* Grades 4 through 8: The maximum number of students who may be assigned to each teacher in an individual classroom would be raised from 22 to 27 , but the school average number of students assigned per class to each teacher within each public school may not exceed 22 students.
* Grades 9 through 12: The maximum number of students who may be assigned to each teacher in an individual classroom would be raised from 25 to 30 , but the school average number of students assigned per class to each teacher within each public school may not exceed 25 students.

Additionally, the joint resolution would clarify that virtual schools are exempt from class size requirements. It would also require the Legislature to provide sufficient funding to maintain class sizes at the levels proposed by the amendment. ${ }^{6}$

The proposed constitutional amendment was presented to the voters at the November 2, 2010, general election. Votes cast in favor of the amendment totaled 54.51 percent, short of the 60 percent threshold required for adoption. ${ }^{7}$

## How much funding has been provided to meet the class size requirements?

To implement the class size requirements, the Legislature has appropriated both operating and capital outlay funds. Appropriations since FY 2003-04 have exceeded $\$ 18$ billion.

[^1]| Class Size Reduction Funding History |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Operating Funds | Fixed Capital Outlay | Total Appropriations |
| $2003-04^{8}$ | $\$ 468,198,634$ | $\$ 600,000,000$ | $\$ 1,068,198,634$ |
| $2004-05^{9}$ | $\$ 972,191,216$ | $\$ 100,000,000$ | $\$ 1,072,191,216$ |
| $2005-06^{10}$ | $\$ 1,507,199,696$ | $\$ 83,400,000$ | $\$ 1,590,599,696$ |
| $2006-07^{11}$ | $\$ 2,108,529,344$ | $\$ 1,100,000,000$ | $\$ 3,208,529,344$ |
| $2007-08^{12}$ | $\$ 2,640,719,730$ | $\$ 650,000,000$ | $\$ 3,290,719,730$ |
| $2008-09^{13}$ | $\$ 2,729,491,033$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,729,491,033$ |
| $2009-10^{14}$ | $\$ 2,845,578,849$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,845,578,849$ |
| $2010-11^{15}$ | $\$ 2,927,921,474$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,927,921,474$ |
| Total <br> Year to <br> Date | $\$ 16,199,829,976$ | $\$ 2,533,400,000$ | $\$ 18,733,229,976$ |

## How have class sizes been impacted since the adoption of the class size amendment?

Since the adoption of the class size amendment, average class sizes have been impacted as follows: ${ }^{16}$

| School District Average Class Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Groupings | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 - 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 - 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 - 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 - 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 - 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 1 0}$ |
| PK-3 | 23.07 | 20.54 | 18.98 | 18.16 | 17.01 | 16.28 | 15.95 | 16.39 |
| Grades 4-8 | 24.16 | 22.43 | 21.30 | 20.48 | 19.45 | 18.76 | 18.60 | 18.91 |
| Grades <br> 9-12 | 24.10 | 24.06 | 23.65 | 22.96 | 22.22 | 21.39 | 21.49 | 21.94 |

School districts have used various methods to reduce class sizes. Between FYs 2003-06, 50 of Florida's 67 school districts built a total of 19,795 new classrooms in order to comply with class size requirements. To do so, districts added classrooms to existing schools and built new schools.

[^2]Districts also used relocatable classrooms, team teaching, and rezoning to meet class size requirements. ${ }^{17}$

## How is compliance with the class size requirements enforced?

In FYs 2006-10, when class size was measured at the school level, if a district failed to comply with the class size requirements a portion of its class size reduction operating categorical funds could be transferred to a fixed capital outlay account. The Department of Education (DOE) was required to calculate an amount of funds proportionate to the amount of class size reduction not accomplished. The amount of funds actually transferred was either DOE's calculation or the undistributed balance of the district's class size reduction operating categorical, whichever was the least. ${ }^{18}$ If a district made appropriate efforts to reduce class sizes but still failed to achieve compliance, the Commissioner of Education and the State Board of Education were authorized to recommend an alternative transfer amount for approval by the Legislative Budget Commission. ${ }^{19}$

| Class Size Reduction Operating Categorical Funds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transfers: FYs 2006-10 |  |  |

Beginning in FY 2010-11, class size is measured at the individual classroom level. The procedure that DOE must follow for adjusting class size reduction operating categorical funds for school districts that fail to meet the class size requirements is as follows:

* Identify, for each grade grouping, the number of classes that exceed the maximum and the total number of students which exceeds the maximum for all classes.
* Determine the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students which exceeds the maximum for each grade grouping.
* Multiply the total number of FTE students over the maximum for each grade grouping by the district's FTE dollar amount of the class size reduction operating categorical allocation for that year and calculate the total for all three grade groupings.

[^3]* Multiply the total number of FTE students over the maximum for all classes by an amount equal to 50 percent of the base student allocation adjusted by the district cost differential for the 2010-11 FY. ${ }^{21}$
* Reduce the district's class size reduction operating categorical allocation by an amount equal to the sum of the calculations in the 3 rd and $4^{\text {th }}$ bullets above. ${ }^{22}$

The reduced amount is the lesser of DOE's calculation or the undistributed balance of the district's class size reduction operating categorical allocation. ${ }^{23}$ If a district made appropriate efforts to reduce class sizes but still failed to achieve compliance or an emergency caused noncompliance, the commissioner is authorized to recommend an alternative transfer amount for approval by the Legislative Budget Commission. ${ }^{24}$ Once the reduced amount is determined, the commissioner must prepare a reallocation of the funds made available to districts that have fully met the class size requirements by calculating an amount that is up to five percent of the base student allocation multiplied by the total district FTE students. The reallocation total may not exceed 25 percent of the total funds reduced. ${ }^{25}$
Districts that fail to comply with the class size requirements must submit a plan by February 15, certified by the district school board, which describes the actions the district will take in order to be in compliance by October of the following year. For districts that submit the plan by the required deadline, the funds remaining after the reallocation calculation must be added back to the district's class size reduction operating categorical allocation based on each qualifying district's proportion of the total reduction for all qualifying districts for which a reduction was calculated. The amount added back may not be greater than the amount that was reduced. ${ }^{26}$

## How many schools per year have failed to meet the class size requirements since school level measurement began?

Measurement of class size at the school level began in FY 2006-07. Through the 2009-10 FY, school noncompliance with class size is as follows: ${ }^{27}$

## FY 2006-07

* A total of 177 traditional public schools failed to comply with class size requirements, including 111 schools in 32 counties for prekindergarten through grade 3,54 schools in 19 counties for grades 4 through 8 , and 23 schools in 15 counties for grades 9 through 12 . A total of 88 charter schools failed to comply with class size requirements, including 53 schools in 19 counties for prekindergarten through grade 3,53 schools in 15 counties for grades 4 through 8, and six schools in four counties for grades 9 through 12.

[^4]
## FY 2007-08

* A total of 67 traditional public schools failed to comply with class size requirements, including 46 schools in 17 counties for prekindergarten through grade 3,15 schools in 11 counties for grades 4 through 8, and nine schools in six counties for grades 9 through 12 .
* A total of 19 charter schools failed to comply with class size requirements, including 13 schools in 10 counties for prekindergarten through grade 3 and nine schools in eight counties for grades 4 through 8 . All charter schools serving grades 9 through 12 were in compliance.
* Two lab schools failed to comply with class size requirements for prekindergarten through grade 3.


## FY 2008-09

* A total of 39 traditional public schools failed to comply with class size requirements, including 26 schools in 12 counties for prekindergarten through grade 3,12 schools in 10 counties for grades 4 through 8 , and four schools in one county for grades 9 through 12 .
* A total of 17 charter schools failed to comply with class size requirements, including 12 schools in 10 counties for prekindergarten through grade 3, four schools in three counties for grades 4 through 8, and two schools in two counties for grades 9 through 12.
FY 2009-10 ${ }^{28}$
* A total of 69 traditional public schools failed to comply with class size requirements, including 46 schools in 15 counties for prekindergarten through grade 3,23 schools in 12 counties for grades 4 through 8, and six schools in six counties for grades 9 through 12.
* Two lab schools failed to comply with class size requirements for grades prekindergarten through grade 3. Two lab schools also failed to comply with class size requirements for grades 4 through 8 .


## Has research shown that Florida's class size amendment positively impacts student achievement?

No. In 2005, an extensive evaluation of the likely impacts of Florida's class size limitations was performed by the Council for Education Policy Research and Improvement (CEPRI). ${ }^{29}$ CEPRI examined the results of other states' efforts to reduce class sizes, national research on class size

[^5]reduction, and Florida's unique characteristics and concluded that class size reduction is not the best strategy for improving education in Florida. ${ }^{30}$ CEPRI found that:

* National research on class size reduction provides "no evidence that reducing class size leads to increased academic outcomes for students in all grades., ${ }^{31}$
* Florida's class size limitations were more rigid and inflexible than those of other states. ${ }^{32}$ Thus, Florida's implementation of the class size amendment would exacerbate teacher shortages, impair parental school choice, and result in the elimination of academic electives and funding cuts to technology; paraprofessional positions; magnet programs; supplemental coaching in math, science, and reading; and dropout prevention programs. ${ }^{33}$
* Having a quality teacher is the single most important factor that impacts student achievement. CEPRI concluded that the class size amendment would inevitably decrease the quality of public school teachers, especially in schools that serve low-income areas of the state. ${ }^{34}$

In 2010, a study by Harvard University's Program on Education Policy and Governance (PEPG) compared the Florida Comprehensive Achievement Test reading and mathematics scores of students in schools and school districts that had class sizes below the constitutional maximums before enactment of the class size amendment with those in schools and school districts with preenactment class sizes above the maximums. ${ }^{35}$ The author found no significant difference in the achievement scores of students in the two comparison groups. Thus, the study concludes that reduced class sizes have had no significant impact, positive or negative, on student achievement. ${ }^{36}$

[^6]
# Where can I get additional information? <br> Florida Department of Education <br> Office of Deputy Commissioner for Finance and Operations <br> (850) 245-0406 <br> http://www.fldoe.org/ClassSize/ 

Florida House of Representatives
Appropriations Committee
(850) 488-6204
http://www.myfloridahouse.gov
Florida House of Representatives
Education Committee
(850) 488-7451
http://www.myfloridahouse.gov
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